Problem 1: The tasks below are run on an otherwise empty system having a quantum of 10 ms , using first-come, first-served scheduling, and which is not task preemptive.

| Task <br> Name | Creation <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ | Run <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | $\infty$ | 15 until 30 |
| B | 7 | $\infty$ | 19 for 8 |
| C | 22 | 21 | Nothing Special |

Task A computes for 15 ms then sleeps (goes into the wait state); it wakes up (moves to ready) at the next multiple of 30 ms . (That is it's woken up at $t=30 \mathrm{~ms}, t=60 \mathrm{~ms}$, etc.) After waking up it performs another 15 ms of computation and sleeps again, to be woken up at the next multiple of 30 ms .

Task B performs I/O after every 19 ms of computation; the I/O takes 8 ms to complete. That is, after each 19 ms of computation B will perform the $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}$.

Show the states of the CPU and tasks from $t=0$ to 100 ms .
Problem 2: The tasks in the table below are run on an otherwise empty system having a quantum of 11 ms and which is not task preemptive.

| Task <br> Name | Creation <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ | Round 1 <br> Class | Round 2 <br> Deadline | Run <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | 1 | N/A | 20 |
| B | 10 | 1 | N/A | 20 |
| C | 20 | 1 | N/A | 20 |
| D | 30 | 2 | 60 | 20 |
| E | 40 | 2 | 95 | 20 |

A multilevel scheduling scheme is used with round robin used in the first round. In the second round first-come, first-served is used for class-1 tasks and deadline scheduling is used for class- 2 tasks. Show the states of each task and the which task the CPU is running from $t=0$ until the last task finishes.

## Problem 3:

| Task <br> Name | Creation <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ | Round 1 <br> Class | Round 2 <br> Deadline | Run <br> Time $/ \mathrm{ms}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 0 | 1 | N/A | $\infty$ |
| B | 10 | 1 | N/A | $\infty$ |
| C | 20 | 1 | N/A | $\infty$ |
| D | 30 | 2 | 60 | 20 |
| E | 40 | 2 | 95 | 20 |

Like the tasks in the previous problem the class- 2 tasks in the table above, which have deadlines, must share CPU with the class- 1 tasks. Suppose that tasks A and B must run regularly, but that task C could wait. Show how the scheduling could be modified so that the running of C could not cause D, E, or any new class-2 tasks to miss deadlines, but A and B still get CPU time regularly. (Of course, C must run some time.)

The solution must describe how the scheduling algorithms presented in class can be used.

