Problem 1: Event and handler information from problem:

| Event | Strong <br> Name | Wrior. | Handler <br> Prior. | Event <br> Run Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Timing |  |  |  |  |


| Solution: Event | Load Set | Load Fact. | Loaded Dur. | Latency | Run | Response | Load |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\emptyset$ | 1 | $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $9 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 0.3333 |
| B | $\emptyset$ | 1 | $4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $9 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 0.1818 |
| C | $\emptyset$ | 1 | $(28+2 c) \mu \mathrm{s}$ | $834 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $103 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $928 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 0.0760 |
| D | $\{A, B\}$ | 0.485 | $825 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $71 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $825 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | $896 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 0.4000 |
| E | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ | 0.008848 | 6.7808 s | $928 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ | 6.7808 s | 6.7818 s | 0.0088 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total Load: |  | 0.9999 |

Events $A$ and $B$, sharing the highest strong priority level can only delay each other and at most for one run. (That is, event $A$ never has to wait for $2 B$ 's, and vice versa.) To find the latency, run time, and response time of $A$ use event sequence $B, A$. To find the latency, run time, and response time of $B$ use event sequence $A, B$.
Latency, run time, and response time of $D$.
The run time of the handler for event $D$ is more than $50 \times A$ or $B$ 's handler, so $D$ 's load set includes these events, the loaded duration is $825 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. $C$ runs during $D$ 's worst-case latency, the event sequence is:
Event Sequence: $C, D, A_{0}, B_{0}, A_{1}, B_{1}, A_{2}, B_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, B_{3}$
The handler for $D$ starts when $C$ finishes at $71 \mu \mathrm{~s} ; D$ finishes at $896 \mu$ s (based on its loaded duration). (Only $A$ and $B$ can interrupt $D$, since they are included in the loaded duration nothing else is needed to find the run time and response time.)
Latency, run time, and response time of $C$.
Event $C$ 's worst-case latency is encountered when it occurs just after $D$ and then also must wait for $A$ and $B$ (not including the ones occurring during $D$ ). The event sequence is:
Event Sequence: $D, C, A, B$
The handler for $C$ starts at $834 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The worst case run time starts with the same event sequence, but $A$ and $B$ occur after $C$ has started. At the time $C$ starts there will have been 9 occurrences of $C$ in the $825 \mu$ s or $834 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ since $D$ started. From the time $D$ finishes to the time $C$ finishes event $A$ will occur 7 times, event $B 5$ times, and event $C$ will occur one more time. The handler for $C$ will then finish $103 \mu$ s after $D$ finishes. When the first $A$ and $B$ occur after $C$ starts that gives a worst-case run time of $103 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Either way, the worst-case response time is $928 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.

## Latency, run time, and response time of $E$.

All events load $E$. Computation of the loading factor is straightforward for all events but $C$, which does not have a fixed execution time. To find an average run time for $C$, note its relationship with $D$. Event $D$ occurs every millisecond, every millisecond $10 C$ s occur. Depending on timing all 10 of $C$ 's events could be handled by one run of the handler (when $C$ occurs soon after $D$ starts) or by two runs of the handler (when $C$ occurs just before $D$ starts). The latter case would put a heavier load on the system, $((28+2)+(28+9 \times 2)) / 1000$. Using this higher load, the loading factor for $E$ is 0.0088 , the latency, run time, and response time are $928 \mu \mathrm{~s}, 6.7808 \mathrm{~s}, 6.7818 \mathrm{~s}$. (The latency is based on the response time of $D$.) The load imposed by $E$ is its run time divided by its smallest period: its run time plus 50 ms . The load is 0.0088 . The total load on the system is 0.9999 , which only an accountant can love.

